

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
11 AT TACOMA

12 PABLO A. CARBO CISNEROS,

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 COURT SYSTEM, *et al.*,

16 Defendants.
17
18

Case No. C09-5160 RBL/KLS

ORDER DENYING
APPLICATION TO PROCEED
IN FORMA PAUPERIS AS
MOOT

19 Before the Court is Plaintiff's second motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis. Dkt. 9.
20 Attached to Plaintiff's second motion is a written consent (Dkt. 9-1) and second proposed complaint (Dkt.
21 9-2). These documents were filed by Plaintiff on April 20, 2009.

22 The Court has already granted Plaintiff leave to proceed in forma pauperis. Dkt. 5. That Order
23 was signed and mailed to Plaintiff on April 20, 2009. On that same day, Plaintiff was ordered to amend
24 his complaint or show cause why it should not be dismissed for failure to state a claim under 42 U.S.C. §
25 1983. Dkt. 7. Plaintiff filed his Amended Complaint on April 28, 2009. The Court will address the
26 adequacy of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint by separate order.

27 Accordingly, it is **ORDERED:**

28 (1) Plaintiff's second motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis (with second proposed

1 complaint) (Dkt. # 9) is **DENIED as moot**;

2 (2) The Clerk is directed to send a copy of this Order to Plaintiff.

3 DATED this 12th day of May, 2009.

4
5
6 

7 Karen L. Strombom
8 United States Magistrate Judge
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28